



Gibbs partitions and feature allocations with product-form exchangeable probability functions

Lorenzo Ghilotti

July 25, 2022

1. Random partitions and random feature allocations
2. Characterizations of Gibbs-type partitions and product-form feature allocations
3. Example: stable beta scaled processes SB-SP

Definitions

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definitions

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (Partition of $[n]$)

A partition $\rho_n = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a decomposition of $[n]$ in k disjoint (non-empty) subsets, called clusters: $[n] = \cup_i G_i$ and $G_i \cap G_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Definitions

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (Partition of $[n]$)

A partition $\rho_n = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a decomposition of $[n]$ in k disjoint (non-empty) subsets, called clusters: $[n] = \cup_i G_i$ and $G_i \cap G_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Definition (Feature allocation of $[n]$)

A feature allocation $f_n = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a multiset of k (non-empty) subsets of $[n]$ (counted with their multiplicities), called features: no index $i \in [n]$ belongs to infinitely many features.

Definitions

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (Partition of $[n]$)

A partition $\rho_n = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a decomposition of $[n]$ in k disjoint (non-empty) subsets, called clusters: $[n] = \cup_i G_i$ and $G_i \cap G_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Definition (Feature allocation of $[n]$)

A feature allocation $f_n = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a multiset of k (non-empty) subsets of $[n]$ (counted with their multiplicities), called features: no index $i \in [n]$ belongs to infinitely many features.

Example ($n = 4, k = 3$)

$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$$

Exchangeable random partition and feature allocation

Definition (Exchangeable random partition)

A random partition \mathcal{P}_n of $[n]$ is called *exchangeable* if for any permutation $\sigma : [n] \longrightarrow [n]$ and every partition $\{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of $[n]$,

$$P(\mathcal{P}_n = \{\sigma(G_1), \dots, \sigma(G_k)\}) = P(\mathcal{P}_n = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\})$$

Exchangeable random partition and feature allocation

Definition (Exchangeable random partition)

A random partition \mathcal{P}_n of $[n]$ is called *exchangeable* if for any permutation $\sigma : [n] \rightarrow [n]$ and every partition $\{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of $[n]$,

$$P(\mathcal{P}_n = \{\sigma(G_1), \dots, \sigma(G_k)\}) = P(\mathcal{P}_n = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\})$$

Definition (Exchangeable random feature allocation)

A random feature allocation F_n of $[n]$ is called *exchangeable* if for any permutation $\sigma : [n] \rightarrow [n]$ and every feature allocation $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of $[n]$,

$$P(F_n = \{\sigma(A_1), \dots, \sigma(A_k)\}) = P(F_n = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\})$$

EPPF for exchangeable random partition

A random partition \mathcal{P}_n of $[n]$ is *exchangeable*

\iff there exists a symmetric function $p_n : \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for every partition $\{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of $[n]$,

$$P(\mathcal{P}_n = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}) = p_n(|G_1|, \dots, |G_k|)$$

The function p_n is the EPPF of \mathcal{P}_n .

EPPF for exchangeable random partition

A random partition \mathcal{P}_n of $[n]$ is *exchangeable*

\iff there exists a symmetric function $p_n : \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for every partition $\{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of $[n]$,

$$P(\mathcal{P}_n = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}) = p_n(|G_1|, \dots, |G_k|)$$

The function p_n is the EPPF of \mathcal{P}_n .

EFPF for exchangeable random feature allocation

There exists a symmetric function $\pi_n : \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} [n]^k \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for every ordered feature allocation (A_1, \dots, A_k) of $[n]$,

$$P(\tilde{F}_n = (A_1, \dots, A_k)) = \pi_n(|A_1|, \dots, |A_k|)$$

The function π_n is the EFPF of F_n

\implies the random feature allocation F_n of $[n]$ is *exchangeable*.

Consider $(\mathcal{P}_n)_n$ (respectively, $(F_n)_n$) a sequence of exchangeable random partitions (respectively, feature allocations).

Consider $(\mathcal{P}_n)_n$ (respectively, $(F_n)_n$) a sequence of exchangeable random partitions (respectively, feature allocations).

Definition (Consistent sequence)

$(\mathcal{P}_n)_n$ (respectively, $(F_n)_n$) is *consistent* if the distribution of \mathcal{P}_n (respectively, F_n) may be obtained from the distribution of \mathcal{P}_{n+1} (respectively, F_{n+1}) by marginalizing out the $n + 1$ individual.

Examples of e. c. random partitions

Example (The two-parameter family (also known as Ewens-Pitman family))

A random partition is a two-parameter (α, θ) process, if its EPPF has the form

$$\frac{(\theta + \alpha)_{k-1 \uparrow \alpha}}{(\theta + 1)_{n-1 \uparrow}} \prod_{l=1}^k (1 - \alpha)_{\lambda_l - 1 \uparrow}$$

where $(x)_{m \uparrow \beta} = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (x + i\beta)$ and $(x)_{0 \uparrow \beta} = 1$.

Parameters (α, θ) are such that either:

- $\alpha \in [-\infty, 0)$ and $\theta = m|\alpha|$, $m = 1, \dots, \infty$ with proper definition in the limiting cases;
- $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $\theta \geq -\alpha$.

Examples of e. c. random partitions

Example (The two-parameter family (also known as Ewens-Pitman family))

A random partition is a two-parameter (α, θ) process, if its EPPF has the form

$$\frac{(\theta + \alpha)_{k-1 \uparrow \alpha}}{(\theta + 1)_{n-1 \uparrow}} \prod_{l=1}^k (1 - \alpha)_{\lambda_l - 1 \uparrow}$$

where $(x)_{m \uparrow \beta} = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (x + i\beta)$ and $(x)_{0 \uparrow \beta} = 1$.

Parameters (α, θ) are such that either:

- $\alpha \in [-\infty, 0)$ and $\theta = m|\alpha|$, $m = 1, \dots, \infty$ with proper definition in the limiting cases;
- $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $\theta \geq -\alpha$.

Special case: the Ewens family ("Dirichlet Process") when $\alpha = 0$.

Example (The 3-parameter Indian buffet Process (3IBP))

A feature allocation is a 3-parameter (γ, α, θ) IBP, with $\gamma \geq 0$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $\theta \in [-\alpha, \infty)$, if it admits EFPF of the form

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{(\theta + 1)_{n-1\uparrow}} \right)^k \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma \frac{(\alpha + \theta)_{i-1\uparrow}}{(1 + \theta)_{i-1\uparrow}} \right) \prod_{l=1}^k (1 - \alpha)_{m_l-1\uparrow} (\theta + \alpha)_{n-m_l\uparrow}$$

where $(x)_{m\uparrow} = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (x + i)$ and $(x)_{0\uparrow} = 1$.

Example (The 3-parameter Indian buffet Process (3IBP))

A feature allocation is a 3-parameter (γ, α, θ) IBP, with $\gamma \geq 0$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $\theta \in [-\alpha, \infty)$, if it admits EFPF of the form

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{(\theta + 1)_{n-1\uparrow}} \right)^k \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma \frac{(\alpha + \theta)_{i-1\uparrow}}{(1 + \theta)_{i-1\uparrow}} \right) \prod_{l=1}^k (1 - \alpha)_{m_l-1\uparrow} (\theta + \alpha)_{n-m_l\uparrow}$$

where $(x)_{m\uparrow} = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (x + i)$ and $(x)_{0\uparrow} = 1$.

Special cases:

- the 2-parameter (γ, θ) IBP: $\alpha = 0$
- the 1-parameter γ IBP: $\alpha = 0, \theta = 1$

Example (The Beta-Bernoulli Process)

A feature allocation is a Beta-Bernoulli with parameters (N, α, θ) , with $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0)$, $\theta \in [-\alpha, \infty)$, if it admits EFPF of the form

$$\binom{N}{k} \left(\frac{-\alpha}{(\theta + \alpha)_{n\uparrow}} \right)^k \left(\frac{(\theta + \alpha)_{n\uparrow}}{(\theta)_{n\uparrow}} \right)^N \prod_{l=1}^k (1 - \alpha)_{m_l - 1\uparrow} (\theta + \alpha)_{n - m_l\uparrow}$$

Definition (Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

An exchangeable random partition is said to be of Gibbs form if for some nonnegative weights $W = (W_j)$ and $V = (V_{n,k})$, its EPPF satisfies

$$p_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) = V_{n,k} \prod_{l=1}^k W_{\lambda_l} \quad (1)$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq n$ and all compositions $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ of n .

Gibbs-type partitions

Definition (Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

An exchangeable random partition is said to be of Gibbs form if for some nonnegative weights $W = (W_j)$ and $V = (V_{n,k})$, its EPPF satisfies

$$p_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) = V_{n,k} \prod_{l=1}^k W_{\lambda_l} \quad (1)$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq n$ and all compositions $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ of n .

Example

The two-parameter family (Ewens-Pitman family) is a class of Gibbs-type partitions.

Definition (Battiston et al. 2018)

An exchangeable feature allocation is said to have product-form EFPFs if for an infinite array $V = (V_{n,k} : (n, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0)$ and two sequences $W = (W_j : j \in \mathbb{N})$ and $U = (U_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ of nonnegative weights, its EFPF satisfies

$$\pi_n(m_1, \dots, m_k) = V_{n,k} \prod_{l=1}^k W_{m_l} U_{n-m_l} \quad (2)$$

Definition (Battiston et al. 2018)

An exchangeable feature allocation is said to have product-form EFPFs if for an infinite array $V = (V_{n,k} : (n, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0)$ and two sequences $W = (W_j : j \in \mathbb{N})$ and $U = (U_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ of nonnegative weights, its EFPF satisfies

$$\pi_n(m_1, \dots, m_k) = V_{n,k} \prod_{l=1}^k W_{m_l} U_{n-m_l} \quad (2)$$

Example

The 3-parameter Indian buffet Process (as well as the 2IBP and the 1IBP) and the Beta-Bernoulli process are exchangeable feature allocations with product-form EFPFs

Gibbs-type partitions

\Leftrightarrow (Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

Feature allocations with product-form

\Leftrightarrow (Battiston et al. 2018)

Gibbs-type partitions

\iff (Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

- the sequence W s.t.

Feature allocations with product-form

\iff (Battiston et al. 2018)

- the sequences W and U s.t.

Gibbs-type partitions

\iff (Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

- the sequence W s.t.
- * $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1)$:

$$W_j = (1 - \alpha)_{j-1}\uparrow$$

Feature allocations with product-form

\iff (Battiston et al. 2018)

- the sequences W and U s.t.
- * $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1), \theta \in (-\alpha, \infty)$:

$$W_m = (1 - \alpha)_{m-1}\uparrow, \quad U_m = (\theta + \alpha)_{m}\uparrow$$

Gibbs-type partitions

\iff (Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

- the sequence W s.t.

* $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1)$:

$$W_j = (1 - \alpha)_{j-1\uparrow}$$

* $\alpha = -\infty$:

$$W_j = 1$$

Feature allocations with product-form

\iff (Battiston et al. 2018)

- the sequences W and U s.t.

* $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1), \theta \in (-\alpha, \infty)$:

$$W_m = (1 - \alpha)_{m-1\uparrow}, \quad U_m = (\theta + \alpha)_{m\uparrow}$$

* $\alpha = -\infty, q \in (0, 1)$:

$$W_m = q^{m-1}, \quad U_m = (1 - q)^m$$

Gibbs-type partitions

\iff (Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

- the sequence W s.t.

* $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1)$:

$$W_j = (1 - \alpha)_{j-1\uparrow}$$

* $\alpha = -\infty$:

$$W_j = 1$$

- the array V satisfies a recursive equation

Feature allocations with product-form

\iff (Battiston et al. 2018)

- the sequences W and U s.t.

* $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1), \theta \in (-\alpha, \infty)$:

$$W_m = (1 - \alpha)_{m-1\uparrow}, \quad U_m = (\theta + \alpha)_{m\uparrow}$$

* $\alpha = -\infty, q \in (0, 1)$:

$$W_m = q^{m-1}, \quad U_m = (1 - q)^m$$

- the array V satisfies a recursive equation

Gibbs-type partitions

\iff (Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

Feature allocations with product-form

\iff (Battiston et al. 2018)

Gibbs-type partitions

\iff (Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over s of Poisson-Kingman $(\alpha|s)$ -partitions;

Feature allocations with product-form

\iff (Battiston et al. 2018)

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over γ of 3-parameter IBPs;

Gibbs-type partitions

\iff (Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over s of Poisson-Kingman $(\alpha|s)$ -partitions;
- $\alpha = 0$: mixture over θ of the Ewens $(0, \theta)$ -partitions;

Feature allocations with product-form

\iff (Battiston et al. 2018)

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over γ of 3-parameter IBPs;
- $\alpha = 0$: mixture over γ of 2-parameter IBPs;

Gibbs-type partitions

\iff (Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over s of Poisson-Kingman $(\alpha|s)$ -partitions;
- $\alpha = 0$: mixture over θ of the Ewens $(0, \theta)$ -partitions;
- $\alpha \in [-\infty, 0)$: mixture over m of $(\alpha, |\alpha|m)$ -partitions

Feature allocations with product-form

\iff (Battiston et al. 2018)

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over γ of 3-parameter IBPs;
- $\alpha = 0$: mixture over γ of 2-parameter IBPs;
- $\alpha \in [-\infty, 0)$: mixture over N of Beta-Bernoulli models with N features

Stable beta scaled processes SB-SP

Stable beta scaled processes SB-SP

From Proposition 4 of Camerlenghi et al. 2021, the EFPF of the feature allocation induced by the SB-SP (σ, c, β) , with $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, $c, \beta > 0$ is

$$\pi_n(m_1, \dots, m_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\sigma^k \beta^{c+1} \Gamma(k + c + 1)}{(\beta + \gamma_0^{(n)})^{k+c+1} \Gamma(c + 1)} \prod_{l=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(m_l - \sigma) \Gamma(n - m_l + 1)}{\Gamma(n - \sigma + 1)}$$

Stable beta scaled processes SB-SP

From Proposition 4 of Camerlenghi et al. 2021, the EFPF of the feature allocation induced by the SB-SP (σ, c, β) , with $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, $c, \beta > 0$ is

$$\pi_n(m_1, \dots, m_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\sigma^k \beta^{c+1} \Gamma(k+c+1)}{(\beta + \gamma_0^{(n)})^{k+c+1} \Gamma(c+1)} \prod_{l=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(m_l - \sigma) \Gamma(n - m_l + 1)}{\Gamma(n - \sigma + 1)}$$

or equivalently

$$\pi_n(m_1, \dots, m_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\sigma^k \beta^{c+1} (c+1)_{k\uparrow}}{(\beta + \gamma_0^{(n)})^{k+c+1} (1-\sigma)_{n\uparrow}} \prod_{l=1}^k (1-\sigma)_{m_l-1\uparrow} (1)_{n-m_l\uparrow}$$

Stable beta scaled processes SB-SP

From Proposition 4 of Camerlenghi et al. 2021, the EFPF of the feature allocation induced by the SB-SP (σ, c, β) , with $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, $c, \beta > 0$ is

$$\pi_n(m_1, \dots, m_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\sigma^k \beta^{c+1} \Gamma(k+c+1)}{(\beta + \gamma_0^{(n)})^{k+c+1} \Gamma(c+1)} \prod_{l=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(m_l - \sigma) \Gamma(n - m_l + 1)}{\Gamma(n - \sigma + 1)}$$

or equivalently

$$\pi_n(m_1, \dots, m_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\sigma^k \beta^{c+1} (c+1)_{k\uparrow}}{(\beta + \gamma_0^{(n)})^{k+c+1} (1-\sigma)_{n\uparrow}} \prod_{l=1}^k (1-\sigma)_{m_l-1\uparrow} (1)_{n-m_l\uparrow}$$

Let $\alpha = \sigma \in (0, 1)$, the sequences of weights are

$$W_m = (1 - \alpha)_{m-1\uparrow}, \quad U_m = (\alpha + \theta)_{m\uparrow}$$

with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\theta = 1 - \alpha$.

Stable beta scaled processes SB-SP

From Proposition 4 of Camerlenghi et al. 2021, the EFPF of the feature allocation induced by the SB-SP (σ, c, β) , with $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, $c, \beta > 0$ is

$$\pi_n(m_1, \dots, m_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\sigma^k \beta^{c+1} \Gamma(k+c+1)}{(\beta + \gamma_0^{(n)})^{k+c+1} \Gamma(c+1)} \prod_{l=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(m_l - \sigma) \Gamma(n - m_l + 1)}{\Gamma(n - \sigma + 1)}$$

or equivalently

$$\pi_n(m_1, \dots, m_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\sigma^k \beta^{c+1} (c+1)_{k\uparrow}}{(\beta + \gamma_0^{(n)})^{k+c+1} (1-\sigma)_{n\uparrow}} \prod_{l=1}^k (1-\sigma)_{m_l-1\uparrow} (1)_{n-m_l\uparrow}$$






Let $\alpha = \sigma \in (0, 1)$, the sequences of weights are

$$W_m = (1-\alpha)_{m-1\uparrow}, \quad U_m = (\alpha + \theta)_{m\uparrow}$$

with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\theta = 1 - \alpha$.

\implies it is a mixture over γ of 3-parameter IBP $(\gamma, \sigma, 1 - \sigma)$ distributions.

References

-  Battiston, Marco, Stefano Favaro, Daniel M. Roy, and Yee Whye Teh (2018). “A characterization of product-form exchangeable feature probability functions”. In: *The Annals of Applied Probability* 28.3, pp. 1423–1448.
-  Broderick, Tamara, Jim Pitman, and Michael I. Jordan (2013). “Feature Allocations, Probability Functions, and Paintboxes”. In: *Bayesian Analysis* 8.4, pp. 801–836.
-  Camerlenghi, Federico, Stefano Favaro, Lorenzo Masoero, and Tamara Broderick (2021). *Scaled process priors for Bayesian nonparametric estimation of the unseen genetic variation*.
-  Gnedin, Alexander and Jim Pitman (2004). *Exchangeable Gibbs partitions and Stirling triangles*.
-  Pitman, Jim (2003). “Poisson-kingman partitions”. In: *Lecture Notes-Monograph Series*, pp. 1–34.

Partition - definition

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (Partition of $[n]$)

A partition $\rho_n = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a decomposition of $[n]$ in k disjoint (non-empty) subsets, called clusters: $[n] = \cup_i G_i$ and $G_i \cap G_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Partition - definition

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (Partition of $[n]$)

A partition $\rho_n = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a decomposition of $[n]$ in k disjoint (non-empty) subsets, called clusters: $[n] = \cup_i G_i$ and $G_i \cap G_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Example ($n = 4, k = 3$)

$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1\}, \{3\}\}$$

Partition - definition

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (Partition of $[n]$)

A partition $\rho_n = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a decomposition of $[n]$ in k disjoint (non-empty) subsets, called clusters: $[n] = \cup_i G_i$ and $G_i \cap G_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Example ($n = 4, k = 3$)

$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1\}, \{3\}\}$$

$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$$

Partition - definition

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (Partition of $[n]$)

A partition $\rho_n = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a decomposition of $[n]$ in k disjoint (non-empty) subsets, called clusters: $[n] = \cup_i G_i$ and $G_i \cap G_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Example ($n = 4, k = 3$)

$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1\}, \{3\}\}$$

~~$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$$~~

Feature allocation - definition

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (Feature allocation of $[n]$)

A feature allocation $f_n = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a multiset of k (non-empty) subsets of $[n]$, called features: no index $i \in [n]$ belongs to infinitely many features.

Feature allocation - definition

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (Feature allocation of $[n]$)

A feature allocation $f_n = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a multiset of k (non-empty) subsets of $[n]$, called features: no index $i \in [n]$ belongs to infinitely many features.

Example ($n = 4, k = 3$)

$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1\}, \{3\}\}$$

Feature allocation - definition

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (Feature allocation of $[n]$)

A feature allocation $f_n = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a multiset of k (non-empty) subsets of $[n]$, called features: no index $i \in [n]$ belongs to infinitely many features.

Example ($n = 4, k = 3$)

$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1\}, \{3\}\}$$

$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$$

Feature allocation - definition

Consider a set of n individuals indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (Feature allocation of $[n]$)

A feature allocation $f_n = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of $[n]$ is a multiset of k (non-empty) subsets of $[n]$, called features: no index $i \in [n]$ belongs to infinitely many features.

Example ($n = 4, k = 3$)

$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1\}, \{3\}\}$$

$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$$

Example ($n = 4, k = 5$)

$$\rho_4 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 5\}\}$$

Example (The Beta-Bernoulli Process)

A feature allocation is a Beta-Bernoulli with parameters (N, α, θ) , with $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0)$, $\theta \in [-\alpha, \infty)$, if it admits EFPF of the form

$$\binom{N}{k} \left(\frac{-\alpha}{(\theta + \alpha)_{n\uparrow}} \right)^k \left(\frac{(\theta + \alpha)_{n\uparrow}}{(\theta)_{n\uparrow}} \right)^N \prod_{l=1}^k (1 - \alpha)_{m_l - 1\uparrow} (\theta + \alpha)_{n - m_l\uparrow}$$

Example (The Beta-Bernoulli Process)

A feature allocation is a Beta-Bernoulli with parameters (N, α, θ) , with $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0)$, $\theta \in [-\alpha, \infty)$, if it admits EFPF of the form

$$\binom{N}{k} \left(\frac{-\alpha}{(\theta + \alpha)_{n\uparrow}} \right)^k \left(\frac{(\theta + \alpha)_{n\uparrow}}{(\theta)_{n\uparrow}} \right)^N \prod_{l=1}^k (1 - \alpha)_{m_l - 1\uparrow} (\theta + \alpha)_{n - m_l\uparrow}$$

For $\alpha \rightarrow -\infty$, $-\frac{\alpha}{\theta} \rightarrow q \in (0, 1)$, the homogeneous Bernoulli model of parameters (N, q) is obtained. In the following refer to the homogeneous Bernoulli model of parameters (N, q) as the special Beta-Bernoulli model with $\alpha = -\infty$ and characterize it with $q \in (0, 1)$.

Characterization of weights in Gibbs-type partitions

Characterization of weights in Gibbs-type partitions

Theorem (Lemma 2 of Gneden and Pitman 2004)

The distribution of a consistent exchangeable random partition is of Gibbs form, with $W_1 = V_{1,1}$ if and only if

Characterization of weights in Gibbs-type partitions

Theorem (Lemma 2 of Gneden and Pitman 2004)

The distribution of a consistent exchangeable random partition is of Gibbs form, with $W_1 = V_{1,1}$ *if and only if* one of the following two cases holds:

(i) W can be uniquely written as $W_j = (1 - \alpha)_{j-1\uparrow}$ for fixed $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1)$, and the elements of V satisfy the recursion (with $V_{1,1} = 1$)

$$V_{n,k} = (n - \alpha k)V_{n+1,k} + V_{n+1,k+1}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

(ii) W can be uniquely written as $W_j = 1$ and V satisfies the recursion (with $V_{1,1} = 1$)

$$V_{n,k} = kV_{n+1,k} + V_{n+1,k+1}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

corresponding to the limiting case $\alpha \rightarrow -\infty$ (indicate this case with $\alpha = -\infty$).

Characterization of weights in product-form feature allocations

Theorem (Battiston et al. 2018)

The distribution of a consistent exchangeable feature allocation has product-form EFPP if and only if

Characterization of weights in product-form feature allocations

Theorem (Battiston et al. 2018)

The distribution of a consistent exchangeable feature allocation has product-form EFPP if and only if one of the following two cases holds:

- (i) W and U can be uniquely written as $W_m = (1 - \alpha)_{m-1\uparrow}$ and $U_m = (\theta + \alpha)_{m\uparrow}$, for fixed α, θ satisfying $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1)$ and $\theta \in (-\alpha, \infty)$, and the elements of V satisfy the recursion

$$V_{n,k} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+j}{j} ((\theta + \alpha)_{n\uparrow})^j (\theta + n)^k V_{n+1,k+j}$$

- (ii) W and U can be uniquely written $W_m = q^{m-1}$ and $U_m = (1 - q)^m$, for some $q \in (0, 1)$, and V satisfies the recursion

$$V_{n,k} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+j}{j} (1 - q)^{nj} V_{n+1,k+j}$$

corresponding to the limiting case $\alpha \rightarrow -\infty$ and $-\frac{\alpha}{\theta} \rightarrow q$ (indicate this case with $\alpha = -\infty$ and characterize it with $q \in (0, 1)$).

Analogies between the characterizations of the weight sequences

Gibbs-type partitions

- sequence of weights: W

Feature allocations with product-form

- sequences of weights: W and U

Analogies between the characterizations of the weight sequences

Gibbs-type partitions

- sequence of weights: W
- W is parametrized by the single parameter $\alpha \in [-\infty, 1)$

Feature allocations with product-form

- sequences of weights: W and U
- W and U are parametrized by two parameters (α, θ) such that $\alpha \in [-\infty, 1), \theta \in (-\alpha, \infty)$

Analogies between the characterizations of the weight sequences

Gibbs-type partitions

- sequence of weights: W
- W is parametrized by the single parameter $\alpha \in [-\infty, 1)$

* $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1)$:

$$W_j = (1 - \alpha)_{j-1\uparrow}$$

Feature allocations with product-form

- sequences of weights: W and U
- W and U are parametrized by two parameters (α, θ) such that $\alpha \in [-\infty, 1), \theta \in (-\alpha, \infty)$

* $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1)$:

$$W_m = (1 - \alpha)_{m-1\uparrow}, \quad U_m = (\theta + \alpha)_{m\uparrow}$$

Analogies between the characterizations of the weight sequences

Gibbs-type partitions

- sequence of weights: W
- W is parametrized by the single parameter $\alpha \in [-\infty, 1)$

* $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1)$:

$$W_j = (1 - \alpha)_{j-1\uparrow}$$

* $\alpha = -\infty$ is the limiting case:

$$W_j = 1$$

Feature allocations with product-form

- sequences of weights: W and U
- W and U are parametrized by two parameters (α, θ) such that $\alpha \in [-\infty, 1), \theta \in (-\alpha, \infty)$

* $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1)$:

$$W_m = (1 - \alpha)_{m-1\uparrow}, \quad U_m = (\theta + \alpha)_{m\uparrow}$$

* $\alpha = -\infty$ is the limiting case:

$$W_m = q^{m-1}, \quad U_m = (1 - q)^m$$

still parametrized by the single parameter $q \in (0, 1)$

Theorem (Theorem 12 of Gnedin and Pitman 2004)

Each Gibbs partition of fixed type $\alpha \in [-\infty, 1)$ is a unique probability mixture of the extreme partitions. In particular,

- (i) for $\alpha \in [-\infty, 0)$, mixtures over m of $(\alpha, |\alpha|m)$ -partitions with $m = 1, \dots, \infty$;*
- (ii) for $\alpha = 0$, mixtures over θ of Ewens $(0, \theta)$ -partitions with $\theta \in [0, \infty]$;*
- (iii) for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, mixtures over s of Poisson-Kingman $(\alpha|s)$ -partitions with $s \in [0, \infty]$, where Poisson-Kingman (α, s) -partition refers to the partition derived from a Poisson-Kingman discrete distribution denoted in Pitman 2003 by $PK(\rho_\alpha|t)$ for $t = s^{-\alpha}$.*

Theorem (Battiston et al. 2018)

Each feature allocation with product-form EFPF of fixed parameters (α, θ) (or q if $\alpha = -\infty$) is a unique probability mixture of the extreme feature allocations. In particular,

- (i) for $\alpha \in [-\infty, 0)$, mixtures over N of Beta-Bernoulli models with N features;*
- (ii) for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, mixtures over γ of 3-parameter IBPs;*
- (iii) for $\alpha = 0$, mixtures over γ of 2-parameter IBPs.*

Analogies between the characterizations of the V-weights

Once fixed the parameters α for the Gibbs type partition and (α, θ) for the feature allocation with product-form EFPPF, each of them can be written as

Gibbs-type partitions

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over s of Poisson-Kingman $(\alpha|s)$ -partitions;

Feature allocations with product-form

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over γ of 3-parameter IBPs;

Analogies between the characterizations of the V-weights

Once fixed the parameters α for the Gibbs type partition and (α, θ) for the feature allocation with product-form EFPPF, each of them can be written as

Gibbs-type partitions

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over s of Poisson-Kingman $(\alpha|s)$ -partitions;
- $\alpha = 0$: mixture over θ of the Ewens $(0, \theta)$ -partitions;

Feature allocations with product-form

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over γ of 3-parameter IBPs;
- $\alpha = 0$: mixture over γ of 2-parameter IBPs;

Analogies between the characterizations of the V-weights

Once fixed the parameters α for the Gibbs type partition and (α, θ) for the feature allocation with product-form EFPPF, each of them can be written as

Gibbs-type partitions

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over s of Poisson-Kingman $(\alpha|s)$ -partitions;
- $\alpha = 0$: mixture over θ of the Ewens $(0, \theta)$ -partitions;
- $\alpha \in [-\infty, 0)$: mixture over m of $(\alpha, |\alpha|m)$ -partitions

Feature allocations with product-form

- $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: mixture over γ of 3-parameter IBPs;
- $\alpha = 0$: mixture over γ of 2-parameter IBPs;
- $\alpha \in [-\infty, 0)$: mixture over N of Beta-Bernoulli models with N features